

Erratum

J. Alloys Comp., 186 (1992) 209–215

MgRhD_{1-x}, a new interstitial deuteride containing square planar [Rh₄D₄] rings with nearly linear Rh–D–Rh bridges

F. Bonhomme, K. Yvon and P. Fischer

Table 2 on page 212 was incorrectly printed. The correct version appears below.

TABLE 2. Bond and contact distances (Å) and bond angles (°) for MgRhD_{1-x} at different deuterium pressures*

	MgRhD _{0.94} <i>p</i> = 39 bar	MgRhD _{0.86} <i>p</i> = 2.5 bar	MgRhD _{0.61} <i>p</i> = 10 ⁻² mbar
Mg1–8 D	2.33(1)	2.330(6)	2.31(1)
–8 Rh	2.907(4)	2.896(2)	2.834(3)
–4 Mg3	3.1975(5)	3.1956(3)	3.1833(4)
–2 Mg1	3.2368(7)	3.2322(4)	3.1989(7)
Mg2–8 Rh	2.657(4)	2.662(2)	2.690(3)
–4 Mg3	3.1975(5)	3.1956(3)	3.1833(4)
–2 Mg2	3.2368(7)	3.2322(4)	3.1989(7)
Mg3–4 D	2.226(9)	2.216(5)	2.21(1)
–8 Rh	2.785(4)	2.782(2)	2.763(3)
–2 Mg1	3.1975(5)	3.1956(3)	3.1833(4)
–2 Mg2	3.1975(5)	3.1956(3)	3.1989(7)
–2 Mg3	3.2368(7)	3.2322(4)	3.1989(7)
Rh–2 D	1.708(4)	1.699(3)	1.654(4)
–2 Mg2	2.657(4)	2.662(2)	2.690(3)
–4 Mg3	2.785(4)	2.782(2)	2.763(3)
–2 Mg1	2.907(4)	2.896(2)	2.834(3)
–2 Rh	2.980(6)	2.992(4)	3.058(5)
–2 Rh	3.2368(7)	3.2322(4)	3.1989(7)
–2 Rh	3.415(6)	3.399(4)	3.308(5)
D–2 Rh	1.708(4)	1.699(3)	1.654(4)
–2 Mg3	2.226(9)	2.216(5)	2.21(1)
–2 Mg1	2.33(1)	2.330(6)	2.31(1)
–2 D	2.36(1)	2.374(8)	2.35(2)
D–Rh–D	87.5(7)	88.7(3)	90.5(8)
Rh–D–Rh	177.5(9)	178.7(5)	179.5(10)

*Estimated standard deviations in parentheses.